सत्यमेव जयते

INDIA NON JUDICIAL

Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi

e-Stamp

Certificate No.	:	IN-DL40229338086555Y
Certificate Issued Date	:	06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM
Account Reference	:	IMPACC (IV)/ dl984903/ DELHI/ DL-SAD
Unique Doc. Reference	:	SUBIN-DLDL984903725606670085 48Y
Purchased by	:	DR PANKAJ GARG
Description of Document	:	Article 12 Award
Property Description	:	Not Applicable
Consideration Price (Rs.)	:	0 (Zero)
First Party	:	DR PANKAJ GARG
Second Party	:	Not Applicable
Stamp Duty Paid By	:	DR PANKAJ GARG
Stamp Duty Amount(Rs.)	:	100 (One Hundred only)

Please write or type below this line

In the matter of arbitration between Deeleo, S.A versus Rishi Agarwala
This stamp paper is attached alongwith Order/Award

Sole Arbitrator
Dr. Pankaj Garg
Advocate
Sole Arbitrator

Alert:
1. The authenticity of this Stamp certificate should be verified at 'www.shcilestamp.com' or using e-Stamp Mobile App of Stock Holding. Any discrepancy in the details on this Certificate and as available on the website / Mobile App renders it invalid.
2. The onus of checking the legitimacy is on the users of the certificate.
3. In case of any discrepancy please inform the Competent Authority.

06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM 06-Nov-2026 02:13 PM
DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG DR PANKAJ GARG

THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL COMPRISING OF SR. ADVOCATE, SUPREME COURT OF INDIA, DR. PANKAJ GARG
SOLE ARBITRATOR

IN THE MATTER OF ARBITRATION BETWEEN:

DEOLEO, S.A.
CARRETERA N-IV,
KILOMETRO 388, E-14610 ALCOLEA,
(CORDOBA) SPAIN
EMAIL: CA.BRIJESH@REMFRY.COM,
SIMRANJOT.KAUR@REMFRY.COM

...COMPLAINANT

THROUGH: MS. SIMRANJOT KAUR, ADVOCATE
REMFRY & SAGAR

VERSUS

RISHI AGRAWALA
C63, NEETI BAGH,
NEW DELHI-110049
EMAIL: RISHIAGRAWALA@GMAIL.COM **...RESPONDENT**

THROUGH: MR. GAURAV MIGLANI, ADVOCATE

ORDER DATED 06.11.2026

INTRODUCTION

The matter is taken up today pursuant to the Order dated **03.11.2026**, whereby the E-mail dated **31.10.2026** received on behalf of the Respondent from Mr. Gaurav Miglani, Ld. Counsel, was taken on record and the Complainant was directed to file its response, if any, thereto on or before the present date of hearing.

SUBMISSIONS OF THE RESPONDENT

1. The Respondent, through his Ld. Counsel, has by the aforesaid E-mail submitted, inter alia, that the disputed domain name **<deoleo.in>** was independently adopted

Sole Arbitrator
Dr. Pankaj Garg
Advocate
Sole Arbitrator

with reference to the Hindi expressions "deo" and "leo", that no website has ever been established under the disputed domain name, and that it has not been put to any public or commercial use. Without admitting any allegation of bad faith, infringement or wrongdoing, and solely with a view to bringing the proceedings to an amicable conclusion, the Respondent has stated that he does not wish to contest the Complaint and has no objection to the transfer of the disputed domain name to the Complainant, in accordance with the reliefs prayed for in Para VII (a) and (b) of the Complaint, without any admission of liability and without any order as to costs. The Respondent has accordingly not filed a detailed response to the Statement of Claim. A copy of the said communication was marked to the Complainant's counsels on record.

RESPONSE OF THE COMPLAINANT

2. In response, on 04.11.2026 at 10:26 PM, the Complainant, through Ms. Simranjot Kaur, Ld. Counsel, has submitted that the Registrant having conveyed its consent to the transfer of the disputed domain name in favour of the Complainant, no impediment remains to the said transfer, and has prayed that an appropriate order be passed directing the transfer of the domain name **<deoleo.in>** in favour of the Complainant. The contents of the said E-mail are reproduced hereinbelow:

"***BEFORE THE LEARNED ARBITRATOR***

Respected Sir,

This is further to your attached order.

We note that the Registrant has conveyed its consent for transfer of the extant domain name in favour of the Complainant. In view of the same, the Complainant respectfully submits that no impediment remains to the aforesaid transfer. Accordingly, it is prayed that the Learned Arbitrator may be pleased to pass an

Sole Arbitrator Dr. Pankaj Garg Advocate Sole Arbitrator

appropriate order directing the transfer of the domain deoleo.in in favour of the Complainant.

Best regards,

Simranjot Kaur

Counsel for the Complainant
Remfry & Sagar"

OBSERVATIONS OF THE TRIBUNAL

3. The Tribunal has considered the Complaint, the E-mail of the Respondent and the response of the Complainant. It is evident that the Parties are **ad idem** on the resolution of the dispute, namely, **that the disputed domain name be transferred to the Complainant**, and that **there be no order as to costs**. The Complainant, being the Party that has sought the relief of transfer, has accepted the Respondent's offer, and the Respondent has not sought the further opportunity to file a reply, which was requested by him only in the event the Complainant did not agree to the resolution. The condition on which the Respondent had sought further time therefore does not survive.

4. The Tribunal notes that the settlement between the Parties has been entered out of free will and mutual consent and is neither collusive nor contrary to public policy, and is in accordance with the reliefs sought in the Complaint. In these circumstances, the Tribunal does not consider it necessary to adjudicate upon the merits of the Complaint or to return findings on the Complainant's contentions under **Paragraph 4 of the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (INDRP)**, namely **identity or confusing similarity**, **rights or legitimate interests, and registration and use in bad faith**. Neither the Respondent's submissions on the adoption and non-use of the domain name, nor the

Sole Arbitrator
Dr. Pankaj Garg
Advocate
Sole Arbitrator

Respondent's consent to the transfer, shall be construed as an admission of liability, nor as a finding of the Tribunal for or against either party on the merits.

ORDER

5. In view of the above, and in exercise of the powers vested in the Tribunal under Section 30 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, read with the INDRP and the INDRP Rules of Procedure, the proceedings are terminated on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the parties, and the following consent order is passed:

   a) The Complaint is disposed of in terms of the settlement between the parties, as recorded hereinabove.

   b) The disputed domain name **<deoleo.in>** shall be transferred to the Complainant, Deoleo, S.A., in terms of the reliefs prayed for in Para VII (a) and (b) of the Complaint.

   c) The National Internet Exchange of India (NIXI) and the concerned Registrar are directed to give effect to this Order and to transfer the disputed domain name to the Complainant upon the Complainant fulfilling the requisite formalities, as per the applicable procedure.

   d) The Respondent shall cooperate in, and shall not take any step to impede or defeat, the transfer of the disputed domain name, and shall not transfer, alter or encumber the disputed domain name pending its transfer to the Complainant.

   e) The transfer is without any admission of liability on the part of the Respondent, and there shall be no order as to costs.

Sole Arbitrator
Dr. Pankaj Garg
Advocate
Sole Arbitrator

6. The Complaint stands disposed of accordingly. The Tribunal records its appreciation for the assistance rendered by the Ld. Counsels for both the parties in bringing the proceedings to an amicable conclusion.



(DR. PANKAJ GARG)
SOLE ARBITRATOR

PLACE: NEW DELHI
DATE: 06.11.2026