



सत्यमेव जयते

INDIA NON JUDICIAL

Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi

e-Stamp

Certificate No.	: IN-DL96442940821548X
Certificate Issued Date	: 19-Nov-2025 03:12 PM
Account Reference	: IMPACC (IV)/ dl729603/ DELHI/ DL-ESD
Unique Doc. Reference	: SUBIN-DL72960320161933720646X
Purchased by	: VIDYA PRAKASH PATHAK
Description of Document	: Article 12 Award
Property Description	: Not Applicable
Consideration Price (Rs.)	: 0 (Zero)
First Party	: VIDYA PRAKASH PATHAK
Second Party	: Not Applicable
Stamp Duty Paid By	: VIDYA PRAKASH PATHAK
Stamp Duty Amount(Rs.)	: 100 (One Hundred only)



Please write or type below this line:

V. P. PATHAK
H.J.S.
Former Judge
Sole Arbitrator

Statutory Alert:

1. The authenticity of this Stamp certificate should be verified at www.districtstamps.gov.in or using e-Stamp Online App for India mobile. Any discrepancy in the details on this Certificate and as appearing on the website / Mobile App renders it invalid.
2. The onus of checking the legitimacy is on the users of this certificate.
3. In case of any discrepancy, please inform the Government of India.

**BEFORE THE .IN REGISTRY OF INDIA
INDRP CASE NO. 2156
IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION UNDER THE .IN DOMAIN NAME
DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY; THE INDRP RULES OF PROCEDURE
AND THE ARBITRATION CONCILIATION ACT, 1996**

INDEX

S.NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
1.	Disputed Domain name and Registrar	2
2.	Arbitration Tribunal	2-3
3.	Facts of the case	3
4.	Withdrawal of the case	3-4
5.	Costs	4
6.	Conclusion	4
7.	Order	5

VP Pathak
V. P. PATHAK
H.J.S.
Former Judge
Sole Arbitrator

**BEFORE THE .IN REGISTRY OF INDIA
INDRP CASE NO. 2156
IN THE MATTER OF AN ARBITRATION UNDER THE .IN DOMAIN NAME
DISPUTE RESOLUTION POLICY; THE INDRP RULES OF PROCEDURE
AND THE ARBITRATION CONCILIATION ACT, 1996**

I Think Apps Private Limited
3rd Floor, D587,
Plot 24K
Bhagwan Das Nagar Colony
Rathyatra, Sigra Varanasi
Uttar Pradesh 221010

...(Complainant)

Versus

Priya Palani
1-25 Kapu Street
Nindra Mandal
Athur, Chittoor
Andhra Pradesh 517586
...(Respondent)

DISPUTE RELATING IN THE DOMAIN DISPUTE NAME

www.sarkariresult.com.in

Award Dated- 14.09.2026

**BEFORE V.P. PATHAK
SOLE ARBITRATOR
AT NEW DELHI**

⇒ **DISPUTED DOMAIN NAME & REGISTRAR-**

The disputed domain name is registered through the Registrar of the disputed domain name Dynadot LLC, which is accredited with the .IN registry and is listed on the of the website of the .IN registry.

⇒ **ARBITRATION TRIBUNAL-**

1. The Complainant has filed this Complaint for the disputed domain name, to be transferred to it. To decide this Complaint, NIXI has appointed the undersigned as Arbitrator. A consent letter with a declaration of impartiality by the undersigned to decide this case was sent to NIXI on 4.08.2026. The undersigned sent an Acceptance dated 10.08.2026. On 11.08.2026, the NIXI, appointed the undersigned as Arbitrator to proceed with the case.

V.P. PATHAK
H.J.S.
Former Judge
Sole Arbitrator

2. The Complainant on 12.08.2026, sent a soft of the Complaint to the Tribunal as well to the Respondent following which the Respondent on the same trail mail replied to the Complaint denying allegations made against it.
3. The Tribunal ordered the Complainant as per the INDRP Rules to send a hard copy of the complaint to the Respondent and the same was sent to the Respondent on the same day i.e., 13.08.2026 for which the courier receipt was also sent by the Complainant.
4. As per **Rule 5 of the INDRP Rules** the Tribunal issued a notice dated 15.08.2026 calling upon the Respondent to file its reply on the Complaint within fifteen days from the date of receipt of the notice and rejoinder within fifteen days thereafter.
5. On 29.08.2026, the Respondent replied to the Complaint and now the Complainant was given 7 days' time to file their reply, if any.
6. On 8.09.2026, the Complainant communicated its request to withdraw the Complaint without prejudice to its rights and remedies but with conditions. The .IN Registry acknowledged the request and raised no objection apart from refund of Arbitrator's fee. The Respondent also stated that it did not object to the withdrawal but claimed Rs 20,000/- towards legal expenses and sought other consequential reliefs.

FACTS OF THE CASE:

7. The Complainant filed the present Complaint in respect of the Disputed Domain Name on the allegation that its registration and use conflicted with the Complainant's alleged rights in the expression "SARKARI RESULT" and sought relief in respect of the Disputed Domain Name. The Complaint records that the Complainant, I Think Apps Private Limited, was incorporated on 12.05.2021, as reflected in the Ministry of Corporate Affairs material filed. **Annexure III.**
8. The Complainant's case is that the business relating to "Sarkari Exam" and "Sarkari Result" had been carried on since 2009 as a family business and that, after incorporation, rights in the relevant business and intellectual property were assigned to it under an Assignment Agreement dated 08.08.2025. **Annexure VII.**
9. The Complaint also records the creation date of the Disputed Domain Name as 17.01.2026. The Respondent is an individual registrant, Ms. Priya Palani, and therefore no incorporation date arises in respect of the Respondent. **Annexure I.**
10. The Respondent has stated that she has been engaged in the bona fide business of disseminating information relating to government recruitment notifications, examination schedules and results since 2024, and has operated other information portals in furtherance of the said activity.
11. The Respondent has further stated that the Disputed Domain Name was registered on 17.01.2026 and was used for publishing informational/editorial content relating to government examinations and recruitment.

WITHDRAWAL OF THE COMPLAINT:

12. On 08.09.2026, the Complainant, without prejudice to its rights and remedies in law, communicated its request to withdraw the present Complaint. The Complainant expressly stated that no part of its claims was being waived and that the withdrawal was without prejudice to its rights, including its claimed exclusive rights in the "SARKARI RESULT" trade mark.

V. P. PATHAK
H.J.S.
Former Judge
Sole Arbitrator

VP Pathak

13. The .IN Registry, on 08.09.2026, acknowledged and accepted the Complainant's request for withdrawal and requested the Ld. Arbitrator to conclude the proceedings. It was also communicated that, in terms of Rule 22(e) of the INDRP Rules, since the Complaint had been withdrawn after appointment of the Ld. Arbitrator, the INDRP fees would not be refundable.
14. The Respondent, through its counsel, on 08.09.2026, stated that it did not object to the withdrawal of the Complaint, but submitted that it had already filed a detailed Response and incurred legal expenses in defending the proceedings. The Respondent accordingly sought costs of Rs.20,000/- from the Complainant and also pressed for the other reliefs sought in the Response, including a finding of Reverse Domain Name Hijacking.
15. In view of the express request of the Complainant to withdraw the Complaint and the Respondent's non-objection to such withdrawal, the Complaint is liable to be recorded as withdrawn. Consequently, no adjudication of the substantive claims and defences concerning the Disputed Domain Name is called for at this stage, save to the extent necessary to consider the Respondent's prayer for costs and the other reliefs specifically pressed by the Respondent.

COSTS:

16. The Respondent has claimed Rs.20,000/- towards legal expenses incurred in defending the present proceedings. However, the Respondent has not placed on record any bills, invoices, receipts or other documentary evidence evidencing the payment of such legal expenses or the amount paid towards engagement of an advocate. In the absence of such supporting documents, the claim for reimbursement of Rs.20,000/- cannot be granted. Accordingly, no costs are awarded in favour of the Respondent.

CONCLUSION:

17. In view of the express request made by the Complainant for withdrawal of the Complaint and the Respondent's statement that it does not object to such withdrawal, the Complaint is liable to be recorded as withdrawn. Consequently, the substantive claims and defences relating to the Disputed Domain Name do not call for adjudication in the present proceedings. The Respondent's prayer for a finding of Reverse Domain Name Hijacking also does not call for determination on merits in view of the withdrawal of the Complaint.
18. The request of the Complainant to withdraw the Complaint in respect of the Disputed Domain Name, sarkariresult.com.in, is hereby accepted.
19. The Complaint is accordingly recorded as WITHDRAWN and the present INDRP proceedings stand terminated without adjudication on the merits of the parties' respective claims and defences.
20. No order for transfer or cancellation of the Disputed Domain Name is passed in the present proceedings. The registration shall remain unaffected by this Award, subject to the applicable INDRP Policy, Rules, registrar terms and law.

V. P. PATHAK
H.J.S.
Former Judge
Sole Arbitrator

ORDER:

21. The request of the Complainant to withdraw the Complaint in respect of the Disputed Domain Name, sarkariresult.com.in, is hereby **accepted**.
22. The Complaint is accordingly recorded as “**withdrawn**”, and the present INDRP proceedings stand terminated without adjudication on the merits of the respective claims and defences of the parties.
23. No order for transfer or cancellation of the Disputed Domain Name is passed in the present proceedings.
24. The Respondent’s claim for Rs.20,000/- towards legal expenses is not allowed for want of bills, receipts or other documentary evidence establishing the payment of such expenses or the amount paid towards engagement of an advocate.
25. The Award is hence, **dismissed, as withdrawn**.
26. Parties to bear their own costs.
27. This Award is passed today at New Delhi on 14.09.2026.

V. P. PATHAK
H.J.S.
Former Judge
Sole Arbitrator
VP Pathak
V.P.Pathak
Sole Arbitrator
Date-14.09.2026