


Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi

Certificate No.	: IN-DL83225036831628Y
Certificate Issued Date	: 25-Aug-2026 11:46 AM
Account Reference	: IMPACC (SH)/ dlshimp17/ HIGH COURT/ DL-NDD
Unique Doc. Reference	: SUBIN-DLDLSHIP1767902939720007Y
Purchased by	: BHARAT S KUMAR
Description of Document	: Article 12 Award
Property Description	: Not Applicable
Consideration Price (Rs.)	: 0 (Zero)
First Party	: BHARAT S KUMAR
Second Party	: Not Applicable
Stamp Duty Paid By	: BHARAT S KUMAR
Stamp Duty Amount(Rs.)	: 100 (One Hundred only)



Please write or type below this line

***** Please write or type below this line *****
BEFORE BHARAT S KUMAR, SOLE ARBITRATOR


IN REGISTRY

INDRP ARBITRATION

INDRP Case No. 2159

Disputed Domain Name: <schneider-electric.net.in>

ARBITRATION AWARD DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2026



- 1 The authenticity of this Stamp certificate should be verified at 'www.shcilestamp.com' or using e-Stamp Mobile App of Stock Holding Corporation of India Limited.
- Any discrepancy in the details on this Certificate and as available on the website / Mobile App renders it invalid.
- 2 The onus of checking the legitimacy is on the users of the certificate.
- 3 In case of any discrepancy please inform the Competent Authority.

BEFORE BHARAT S KUMAR, SOLE ARBITRATOR

.IN REGISTRY

NATIONAL INTERNET EXCHANGE OF INDIA (NIXI)

INDRP ARBITRATION

INDRP Case No. 2159

Disputed Domain Name: < schneider-electric.net.in >

ARBITRATION AWARD DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:

SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE

35, rue Joseph Monier

92500 RUEIL-MALMAISON

France

Complainant

VERSUS

Webdot Tech

Chennai,

Tamil Nadu - 600053


India

Respondent

1. The Parties in the proceeding:

The complainant:

The complainant in this administrative proceeding is **SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SE.**, an organization with its place of business at 35, rue Joseph Monier, 92500 Rueil-Malmaison, France. The complainant is represented in this proceeding by **NAMESHIELD** of France, having its place of business at 36bis rue Delaage, 49100 Angers, France. The complainant has also filed a Power of Attorney (POA), dated



20.07.2026, by the representative of the complainant, Maitre Christine, which further authorizes Laurent Becker, Enora Millocheau and Clémence Guillaume of NAMESHIELD S.A.

The complainant asserts in its complaint that it was founded in the year 1871 and is a French industrial business trading house. The complainant avers that it is featured on the NYSE Euronext and the French CAC 40 stock market index. It further avers that in the year 2025, the complainant's revenues amounted to 40 billion Euros.

The Registrant and the Disputed Domain Name:

The complainant avers that the disputed domain name is **schneider-electric.net.in**. The complainant further states that the disputed domain name was registered on July 1st, 2026. Reliance is drawn to the WHOIS record and **Annexure 1**. The complainant avers that the disputed domain name resolves to a website under construction. For the same, it relies on **Annexure 5**. The details of the Registrant have been filed along with screenshots of the disputed domain.

The complainant further lists the details of the registrant as under:

Webdot Tech, Chennai, Tamil Nadu-600053

The complainant asserts that the Registrant is not using the website for any legitimate business/ use.

2. The complainant's right over the trademark "SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC":

The complainant states that mark SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC is its house mark and has been used by it on a worldwide basis at least since the year 1871.

The complainant asserts that it owns several trademarks across the world, including in India and avers that the same include the term "SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC". To affirm the same, the complainant has filed the trademark certificates as **Annexure 3**.



A few trademarks mentioned by the complainant, which are relevant to the present dispute are as under:

- The international trademark SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC n° 715395 registered on March 15, 1999;
- The international trademark SCHNEIDER S ELECTRIC n° 715396 registered on March 15, 1999;
- The Indian trademark SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC n° 4024734 registered on December 12th, 2018.

3. The complainant's internet presence:

The complainant avers that it is the owner of the generic top-level domain name (gTLD) incorporating its name and marks, <schneiderelectric.com> which was registered on April 4th, 1996 and <schneiderelectric.in > registered since February 4th, 2005. The complainant relies on **Annexure 4** to affirm the same.

4. Domain Name and Registrar:-


The disputed domain name is < **schneider-electric.net.in**>. The domain name was created on 01.07.2026. The details of the registrar with which the domain name is registered are as under:

HOSTINGER operations, UAB

Email: domains@hostinger.com

5. Procedural History:

5.1 This arbitration proceeding is in accordance with the .IN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "Policy") adopted by the National Internet Exchange of India ("NIXI") and the INDRP Rules of Procedure (the "Rules"). The arbitration



proceeding is approved in accordance with the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 and its amendments thereto. By registering the disputed domain name with a NIXI accredited registrar, the respondent agreed to the resolution of disputes pursuant to the said Policy and the Rules.

- 5.2 The complaint was filed by the complainant with NIXI against the respondent. On 08.08.2026, to ensure compliance, I had submitted statement of acceptance and declaration of impartiality and independence as required by the Arbitrator's Empanelment Rules (Rule 5). On 08.08.2026, I was appointed as sole arbitrator to decide the disputes between the parties. NIXI notified both the parties of my appointment as Arbitrator *via* email dated 08.08.2026. NIXI had also served by email an electronic copy of the complainant with annexures, on the respondent at the email addresses of the respondent, 'Webdot Tech' - info@webdottech.com.
- 5.3 On 08.08.2026, I had issued notice to the respondent on info@webdottech.com and directed the complainant to serve the complete paperbook on the respondent, i.e. the complaint which was filed by the complainant and the complete annexures. I had also requested both the parties to confirm my appointment, as an arbitrator. That on 11.08.2026, the complainant's counsel Clémence Guillaume, from 'Nameshield', confirmed my appointment as an arbitrator and shared evidence of the service of the paperbook on the respondent, by email and post, both.
- 5.4 That on 14.08.2026, the respondent's counsel, Mr. J. Arokhiaraj had filed the Statement of Defense (response) to the complaint. Pertinently, in its response, the respondent agreed to transfer the disputed domain name to the complainant. I had on 14.08.2026, further requested the respondent's counsel to share his authorization letter and confirm that the respondent, without prejudice to its rights, wishes to transfer the disputed domain name. The snapshot of the email and the relevant portion of the respondent's response is as below:



Bharat <law.bharat@gmail.com>

mailto:law.bharat@gmail.com?subject=Dispute Resolution

10/10/2017 10:17 AM ☆ ☺ ↶ ⋮

Dear Mr. Arokhiaaraj,

I confirm receipt of the reply/counter filed in the captioned matter

In light of your response, **Please confirm that the respondent is, on his own volition, willing to transfer the disputed domain name "schneider-electric.net.in" to the complainant.**

Furthermore, your response did not include an authorization letter. I request you to share your Power of Attorney/ Letter of Authority from the respondent, along with the respondent's identity proof (if an individual), to confirm that you have instructions from him.

Dear @legal - Since you are acting for the complainant in the case, please note that respondent is willing to amicably settle the dispute by transferring the disputed domain name, **schneider-electric.net.in**, to the complainant

Regards,

RESPONDENT's RESPONSE:

INDRP

.IN REGISTRY

C/o.NIXI (NATIONAL INTERNET EXCHANGE OF INDIA)
NEW DELHI, INDIA, reply
registry@nixi.in

Case No: 2159

Disputed Domain Name <**schneider-electric.net.in**>

SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC SI
35, Rue Joseph Monier
92500 Rueil-Malmaison
France

Complainant

-V-

Webdot Tech
Chennai-53-India

Respondent

Disputed Domain Name: schneider-electric.net.in

Reply filed by the Respondent



Reply filed by the Respondent

1. That the respondent is a local web designer, vide info @ webdottech.com
2. The address of all notices and processes on the respondent is that of his Counsel Mr. J. Arokhiaraj and D. Benny, having their office at, 368, New Law Chamber, High Court, Chennai- 600 104, Mobile- +919087339666
3. That pursuant to the said complaint, it is hereby submitted that web domain "schneider-electric.net.in" was processed and initiated for a person almost a month before for his petty hardware shop and the said domain is to date dormant and unstructured and even not in use.
4. Further to the complaint it is understood the name "schneider" is relevant to French industrial business trading internationally, and found the website as www.se.com, which is hiddenly representing the name schneider.

5. Irrespective of the above, since the domain "schneider-electric.net.in" is reflecting the complainant's name, the respondent will not further upload structure or lunch the same and the same will be conveyed once the respective person approaches, since at present he could not be able to reach
6. Further there is no liability arises on part of the respondent and the web sight was not structured for any bad thing or in bad faith, and to substantiate the same, the respondent is ready to transfer of the disputed domain name.


Thus with above submission the Arbitration Proceeding to be conducted in accordance with the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996 (India), the current JN Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy (the "INDRP Policy") and the INDRP Rules of Procedure (the "Rules") is not required and as the dispute is concluded, this arbitration proceeding is unnecessary being upon the readiness to transfer the disputed domain, it is settled and the complaint becomes infructuous.



The authorization letter was shared by the respondent's counsel on 19.08.2026. He had subsequently also confirmed on 19.08.2026 that the transfer is by the respondent's own will and volition. The snapshot of the email is affixed below:



5.5 I wish to highlight Clause 13(b) of the INDRP Rules of procedure, which requires that the arbitrator shall at all times treat the parties equally and provide each one of them with a fair opportunity to present their case. In light of the respondent's voluntary surrender of the domain name, <schneider-electric.net.in>, I had on 21.08.2026, reserved the verdict.



Decision

In view of the foregoing, the respondent's response and its voluntary relinquishment/surrender of the Disputed Domain Name < **schneider-electric.net.in** >, I direct that < **schneider-electric.net.in** > be transferred to the complainant in accordance with the INDRP rules and procedures. No order as to costs.

New Delhi

Dated: 18.09.2026


Bharat S Kumar
Sole Arbitrator

Arbitrator
Bharat S
Kumar
Arbitrator